For the Northern District of California

28

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	IN RE No. C-12-md-2330 EMC
9	CARRIER IQ, INC., CONSUMER PRIVACY LITIGATION. ORDER RE PLAINTIFFS' PROPOSED
10	GUIDELINES TO LIMIT COSTS AND EXPENSES
11	(Docket No. 108)
12	(DOCKET 140, 100)
13	/
14	Pursuant to the Court's order of July 16, 2012, see Docket No. 100 (order), co-lead counsel
15	for the proposed classes have submitted proposed guidelines as to how to limit costs and expenses in
16	this litigation. The Court has reviewed the proposed guidelines and hereby adopts them. Costs or
17	expenses that do not fall within the limitations outlined in Docket No. 108 will be presumptively
18	unreasonable and not compensable in any fee award.
19	That being said, the Court advises co-lead counsel that costs or expenses that do fall within
20	the limitations in Docket No. 108 shall not be deemed presumptively reasonable. For instance, the
21	Court will not approve compensation attendance for two lawyers and a paralegal at a deposition
22	unless shown to be reasonably necessary. The Court retains its authority to evaluate any costs or
23	expenses submitted by counsel for reasonableness.
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.
25	
26	Dated: September 10, 2012
27	EDWARD M. CHEN
	United States District Judge